INTERNATIONAL HUMAN RIGHTS NETWORK

OF ACADEMIES AND SCHOLARLY SOCIETIES

EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

Arjuna Aluwihare Sri Lanka

D. Balasubramanian *India*

Claude Cohen-Tannoudji*

France

Abdallah S. Daar Oman/Canada

Felton Earls United States of America

François Jacob*

Belita Koiller Brazil

Pedro León Azofeifa Costa Rica

> Ida Nicolaisen Denmark

John Polanyi* Canada

Alenka Šelih Slovenia

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

Carol Corillon

SECRETARIAT

Committee on Human Rights
The National Academies
500 Fifth Street, NW
Washington, DC 20001, USA
tel: (202) 334-3043
fax: (202) 334-2225
email: chr@nas.edu
nationalacademies.org/humanrights

June 6, 2012

His Majesty King Shaikh Hamad bin 'Issa Al-Khalifa Office of His Majesty the King P.O. Box 555 Rifa'a Palace Al-Manama, Bahrain

Your Majesty:

We, the members of the Executive Committee of the International Human Rights Network of Academies and Scholarly Societies, write at this critical juncture in the appeal case of the 20 Bahraini medics, to express our urgent concern about their plight. We understand that the High Criminal Court of Appeal will pronounce its verdict on their appeal case on June 14, 2012. We respectfully request that you kindly facilitate the attendance of our representatives at the announcement of the verdict. Because time is of the essence, we would be grateful for a prompt response to this request.

Additionally, as prominent members of the international scientific community, we are obligated to write to you about how essential it is for health professionals to practice medical neutrality, which is our specific concern regarding the treatment and due process rights of our Bahraini medical colleagues. We urge you sincerely to use your good offices, on humanitarian grounds and out of respect for the importance of medical neutrality, to ensure that the Bahraini medics are accorded the full range of their fundamental rights. We are confident that a ruling, in strict accordance with international fair trial standards, will result in their full exoneration.

As you no doubt are aware, the 20 medics were convicted of a range of crimes in September 2011, following a military trial that violated international judicial norms. As much as we were gratified when Attorney General Dr. Ali Al-Boainain stated that the defendants would be accorded a "retrial" before the highest civilian

FOUNDING MEMBERS
François Jacob* – France
Max Perutz* – United Kingdom
Pieter van Dijk – Netherlands
Torsten Wiesel* – USA
(*Nobel Laureate)

Academies in the Network support and promote the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, assist unjustly incarcerated nonviolent professional colleagues, address grave science-related human rights issues, and advocate for member institutions whose independence is threatened.

(The U.S. National Academies, non-profit, non-governmental honorary societies, host the Network secretariat.)

court and that they would have "the benefit of full reevaluation of evidence and full opportunity to present their defenses," we were equally dismayed that his assurances were not realized. Although three of the more serious charges were dropped and the defendants were allowed to remain free, although unemployed, until the verdict is rendered, the medics were not given a retrial. Rather, they were allowed only an appeal before the High Criminal Court of Appeal, which began on October 23, 2011, and violated international fair trial standards in a number of important ways.

The medics have alleged they were tortured to extract confessions—allegations that are consistent with the findings of the Bahraini Independent Commission of Inquiry (BICI) as well as those of the international medical experts who, at the BICI's request, traveled to Bahrain, examined eight of the medics, and submitted confidential forensic reports to the commission. We understand that the court finally permitted the reports to be introduced by the defense at the end of March 2012 and the Attorney General allowed the "confessions" to be withdrawn. However, the other 12 medics, despite additional claims of torture, were denied permission to also be examined by an internationally respected and impartial medical doctor.

Another major concern is the lack of openness of the court proceedings to the public. Despite your government's claims that the proceedings were open, we understand that representatives from various nongovernmental organizations had restrictions placed on their visas, making it impossible for them to attend most of the hearings. We understand also that journalists were largely prevented from entering the courtroom. A number of other requests made by the defense were denied during the appeal proceedings as well. For example, the medics' request that weapons—never mentioned in the original trial but suddenly introduced by the prosecution as evidence against them—be excluded was denied.

Again, we appeal with utmost respect to you to use your good offices on humanitarian grounds in this important case to ensure that medical neutrality and the rule of law—issues paramount to the scientific community the world over—are upheld and that the cases of these medical colleagues are brought to a just conclusion so that they can return to their important work serving the medical needs of the people of Bahrain. We are anxious that our representatives be permitted to attend the announcement on June 14 of what we trust will be a favorable verdict.

Yours Sincerely,

Arjuna Aluwihare
Dorairajan Balasubramanian
Claude Cohen-Tannoudji
Abdallah S. Daar
Felton Earls
François Jacob
Belita Koiller
Pedro León Azofeifa
Ida Nicolaisen
John Polanyi
Alenka Šelih

cc: World Health Organization
International Federation of Red Cross and Red Crescent Societies
Doctors without Borders
Federation of Islamic Medical Associations
Ministry of Health, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia